



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_ACSj_10589
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Trace-Metals in Sediments and plants on canal of Jandiá and Igarape of Fortaleza in Macapá-AP-Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The entire manuscript needs to be rewritten with properly constructed sentences. There are so many grammatical errors. I can't point out all of them. The ions should be written as Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}, Pb^{2+} and Zn^{2+} not Mg^{+2}, Ca^{+2}, Pb^{+2} and Zn^{+2} Clarify how you were able to analyze for the specific ions Na^+, K^+, Mg^{+2}, Ca^{+2}, Pb^{+2} and Zn^{+2} using AAS as we have for instance lead occurring in different oxidation states. The metals should have been reported as Mg, Ca, Pb e.t.c Unless you can state any speciation method employed in this study.	The due alterations were made. However, the intention of the article was to quantify the trace-metals and not the ions as described in the previous version.
Minor REVISION comments	In the abstract, the results should be reported properly. i.e K^+ ranged "from" and not "of". Apply that to all the metals. In the Introduction, merge paragraphs 1 and 2, merge paragraphs 3, 4 and 5, and merge 6 and 7. Line 82-what is the full meaning of "PVC". Reference number 12 is not a complete reference. State the title of the document and if available the Author. Also	The modifications were carried according to the suggestion of the referee.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	give the date when the web page was accessed or last updated. Add fullstop at the end of every reference. Line 126 should be “RESULTS AND DISCUSSION” not “RESULTS E DISCUSSION”.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The entire manuscript needs to be rewritten with properly constructed English Language.	The manuscript was reviewed.